

10400 Eaton Place  
Suite 312  
FAIRFAX, VA  
Phone: (703) 385-5200  
Fax: (703) 385-5080

**KEATING & BENNETT LLP****Fax**

**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**  
**NOV 22 2004**


**To:** Examiner H. Nguyen**From:** Christopher A. Bennett**Fax:** 703-872-9306**Date:** November 22, 2004**Phone:****Pages:** 4**Re:** 10/077,787**CC:**

36856.618

**•Comments:**

Dear Sir,

Please find attached a Communication regarding Notice of Drawing Inconsistencies with Specification, and a copy of Fig. 14 for the above-identified application.



Christopher A. Bennett  
for  
KEATING & BENNETT, LLP  
Reg. No. 46,710

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to Mail Stop Issue Fee, 703-872-0306, addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: November 22, 2004

  
Christopher A. Bennett**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****NOV 22 2004****PATENT  
36856.618**

In re application of: Akira KATO Serial No.: 10/077,787 Filing Date: February 20, 2002 For: OSCILLATOR DEVICE AND ELECTRONIC APPARATUS USING THE SAME	Art Unit: 2816 Examiner: H. Nguyen
---	---------------------------------------

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
NOTICE OF DRAWING INCONSISTENCIES WITH SPECIFICATION**

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Drawings  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to a Notice of Drawing Inconsistencies with Specification mailed on May 18, 2004, Applicant previously submitted a Response to Notice of Drawing Inconsistency with Specification on June 11, 2004. However, Applicant has not received any indication from the USPTO regarding whether this response was entered, or whether this response was sufficient to correct the inconsistency between the drawings and the specification.

Accordingly, Applicant's counsel contacted the Examiner to inquire about whether the inconsistency with the specification had been corrected by the Response to Notice of Drawing Inconsistency with Specification filed on June 11, 2004. The Examiner indicated that he did not see any indication in the IFW for the above-identified application that the inconsistency had been resolved, and that it appeared that Fig. 14, which the Examiner required in the Office Action of November 13, 2003, and Applicant

Serial No. 10/077,787  
November 22, 2004  
Page 2

submitted along with the Amendment filed on February 3, 2004 has not been entered into the IFW for the above-identified application.


The Examiner Instructed Applicant's counsel to submit another copy of Fig. 14. Accordingly, Applicant submits herewith a copy of Fig. 14 which is described in the specification, as amended in the Amendment of February 3, 2004, so as to correct the inconsistency with the specification.

Applicant respectfully requests that Fig. 14 be entered in the above-identified application so as to correct the inconsistency with the specification.

Date: November 22, 2004

**KEATING & BENNETT LLP**  
10400 Eaton Place, Suite 312  
Fairfax, VA 22030  
Telephone: (703) 385-5200

Respectfully submitted,

  
Christopher A. Bennett  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 46,710